



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,121	06/25/2004	Seiichiro Udagawa	4724-0019WOUS	7905
35301	7590	09/17/2007	EXAMINER	
MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP			WEINSTEIN, LEONARD J	
CITY PLACE II			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
185 ASYLUM STREET				
HARTFORD, CT 06103			3746	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
09/17/2007		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/500,121	UDAGAWA, SEIICHIRO
	<b>Examiner</b> Leonard J. Weinstein	<b>Art Unit</b> 3746

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Leonard J. Weinstein. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Justin Sauer. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 10 September 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 4.

Identification of prior art discussed: No.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ANTHONY D. STASHICK  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant requested clarification with reference to the office action of September 4, 2007. Specifically examiner confirmed that paragraph 6 of the office action was intended to address the limitations of claim 4 and is hereby corrected to the following: Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yajima 6,539,986 in view of Do 4,935,151. It is noted by the examiner that all the limitations of claim 4 were addressed in paragraph 6 of the office action of September 4, 2007.